## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

NU	ITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORD	PER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Jose Valentin Reyes-Vasquez			11-02057M-001
In accordance present and w detention of th	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 vas represented by counsel. I conclude b ne defendant pending trial in this case.	1142(f), a detention hearing y a preponderance of the e	g was held on February 22, 2011. Defendant was vidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the l	Inited States or lawfully ad	mitted for permanent residence.
	<ul> <li>The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.</li> <li>If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.</li> </ul>		
$\boxtimes$			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum o	f	years imprisonment.
The C at the time of t	he hearing in this matter, except as note	ial findings of the Pretrial S ed in the record. DNCLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Court
1.	There is a serious risk that the defend	ant will flee.	
2.	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.		
		NS REGARDING DETEN	
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicable, efendant shall be afforded a reasonable o	from persons awaiting or se opportunity for private cons Government, the person ir	Ther designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a court a charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.
	APPEALS	AND THIRD PARTY RELE	EASE
IT IS C deliver a copy of Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this of the motion for review/reconsideration to	letention order be filed with p Pretrial Services at least	n the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services suffic	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to iently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be consid he District Court to allow	ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATE: Febru	uary 22, 2011	Commence of the second	JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge